


Overall Accuracy of Good Judgment's Forecasts


Good Judgment measures accuracy using the Brier score, a score that shows how far a forecast fell from the truth (the closer the better). On a scale of 0-2, 0 shows perfect prescience.



Across 323 questions, Good Judgment Inc's Superforecasters were very accurate even individually (illustrated by the gray bars). As a group, however, they were *incredibly* accurate. The teal line shows their aggregated score, demonstrating that on measures of accuracy, it's hard to beat Superforecasters as a team.

Overall Accuracy of Good Judgment's Forecasts


Not all forecasting questions are equal. Some situations are stable and can be commonly described as “predictable.” Others are volatile, with multiple unknowns and rapid changes. Without knowing the difficulty of the questions, how do we evaluate one’s forecasting track record?



Because there is no objective measure of question difficulty, the only way to know that the Superforecasters’ accuracy is really impressive is to look at hundreds of forecasts over time. From 2016 to 2021, a period that includes the unprecedented crisis of COVID-19, across 323 questions, Good Judgment’s Superforecasters showed that the accuracy of their forecasts is relatively constant over time.

How Quickly Did We Get It Right?


The Superforecasters as a team emerged on the right side of “maybe” for **all** 323 questions. How quickly did they recognize and forecast the correct outcome? Reliable early signal is essential to decision makers.



Questions posed to the Superforecasters include between 2 and 5 different potential outcomes. Across all questions over the past 5 years, the Superforecasters as a team identified the correct outcome with over 55% confidence up to 350 days ahead of the outcome. This is consistent with the Good Judgment Project finding that “superforecasters looking out 300 days were more accurate than regular forecasters looking out 100 days.” Good Judgment’s professional Superforecasters assigned 70% probability to the correct outcome on average 100 days before it happened.

How Reliable Are Good Judgment's Forecasts?


When we say there is an 80% probability of an event happening, does that really mean 80%? Many forecasters tend to be overconfident, appearing more certain of their prediction than evidence warrants. The calibration curve shows whether we can take Good Judgment's forecasts at face value.



With 323 questions scored and analyzed, the Superforecasters have demonstrated they are well-calibrated (neither over- nor underconfident) on average. This is depicted by the solid line closely tracking the ideal dashed line. For every probability the Superforecasters assign, they correctly estimate the amount of uncertainty. Their forecasts are not only accurate but also reliably precise.


Accuracy by Topic Areas: Interest Rates

Across 30 questions on interest rates, Good Judgment's Superforecasters saw the correct outcome with a 60% probability some 300 days and with 75% probability 250 days ahead of the outcome. The calibration curve shows how reliable the value of their probabilities was.





Accuracy by Topic Areas: Geopolitics

Across 24 questions on geopolitics, Good Judgment's Superforecasters saw the correct outcome with a 75% probability some 350 days ahead of the outcome. The calibration curve shows how reliable the value of their probabilities was.



Accuracy by Topic Areas: Foreign Policy

Across 24 questions on foreign policy, Good Judgment's Superforecasters saw the correct outcome with a 75% probability more than 350 days ahead of the outcome. The calibration curve shows how reliable the value of their probabilities was.



What This Means for Decision Makers

With a proliferation of information sources, decision makers need a reliable way to evaluate these various services. Good Judgment stands apart from the rest based on the accuracy, trustworthiness, and independence of our forecasts. They distill all available information, filtering out the noise and finding the signal. As co-author of new bestseller *Noise* Cass Sunstein says, “the Superforecasters are less noisy.”

With roots in an award-winning research project whose forecasts proved [30%](#) more accurate than those of the US intelligence, we continue to evaluate each and every forecast we make with academic rigor. Our professional Superforecasters as a team have an unbeaten track record over time.

Over hundreds of questions in the course of the past five years, the Superforecasters have consistently recognized the correct outcome early, and they reliably quantified uncertainty for each forecast, giving decision makers valuable insight with plenty of time to act.

Unlike many Wall Street firms or pundits, Good Judgment has no financial, personal, or political agenda—and we keep score and hold ourselves accountable in a way that few firms or pundits are able or willing to provide. Accurate forecasting that our clients use in their decision-making is our only goal. Our company emerged from a US-government supervised and academically validated research project. Our commitment to excellency in forecasting only continues to grow.